

Titanium Dioxide
99/00S14

August 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| I EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
| A. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| B. PROCESS TECHNOLOGY | 5 |
| 1. Chloride Process | 5 |
| 2. Sulfate Process | 7 |
| C. PRODUCTION COSTS | 11 |
| D. APPLICATIONS | 12 |
| E. SUPPLY/DEMAND | 14 |
| | |
| II CURRENT COMMERCIAL TECHNOLOGY | 15 |
| A. INTRODUCTION | 15 |
| 1. Overview | 15 |
| 2. Discussion | 16 |
| B. CHLORIDE PROCESS | 21 |
| 1. Chemistry | 21 |
| 2. Process Description of Commercial Chloride Technology | 21 |
| C. SULFATE PROCESS | 28 |
| 1. Chemistry | 28 |
| 2. Process Description of Commercial Sulfate Technology | 28 |
| | |
| III DEVELOPING TECHNOLOGY | 33 |
| A. BAYER AG | 33 |
| B. E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY | 35 |
| C. KERR-MCGEE CHEMICAL CORP. | 36 |
| D. HUNTSMAN TIOXIDE LTD. | 36 |
| E. KRONOS, INC. | 37 |
| F. MILLENNIUM (FORMERLY SCM) CHEMICALS, INC. | 38 |
| G. KEMIRA, INC. | 38 |
| H. REGIONAL RESEARCH LABORATORY (INDIA) | 38 |
| I. SOLEX RESEARCH CORPORATION | 39 |
| | |
| IV ECONOMIC ANALYSIS | 41 |
| A. BASIS | 41 |
| 1. Pricing Basis | 41 |
| 2. Investment Basis | 41 |
| 3. Cost of Production Basis | 42 |

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)**

| | Page |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| B. INVESTMENT | 43 |
| 1. Chloride Plants | 43 |
| 2. Sulfate Plants | 44 |
| C. PRODUCTION COSTS | 46 |
| D. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS | 49 |
| 1. Feedstock Costs | 49 |
| 2. Investment | 49 |
| 3. Return on Investment | 49 |
| 4. Operating Rate | 53 |
| 5. Capacity | 53 |
| V COMMERCIAL ANALYSIS | 57 |
| A. APPLICATIONS | 57 |
| B. CAPACITY | 60 |
| C. SUPPLY/DEMAND | 63 |
| REFERENCES | 65 |
| APPENDIX | 66 |
| PERP TITLE INDEX | 71 |

TABLES

| | | Page |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| Table I.A.1 | Physical Properties of Anatase and Rutile | 2 |
| Table I.C.1 | Titanium Dioxide Production Cost Summary | 11 |
| Table I.E.1 | Global Titanium Dioxide Consumption | 14 |
| Table II.A.1 | Physical Properties of Anatase and Rutile | 16 |
| Table II.A.2 | Titanium Dioxide Content | 20 |
| Table II.C.1 | Advantages/Disadvantages of TiO ₂ Processes | 29 |
| Table IV.A.1 | Price and Utilities Basis | 41 |
| Table IV.B.1 | Chloride Process Capital Cost Breakdown | 44 |
| Table IV.B.2 | Sulfate Process Capital Cost Breakdown | 45 |
| Table IV.C.1 | Cost of Production Estimate for: Titanium Dioxide Process: Sulfate | 47 |
| Table IV.C.2 | Cost of Production Estimate for: Titanium Dioxide Process: Chloride | 48 |
| Table V.B.1 | Global Capacity for Titanium Dioxide | 61-62 |
| Table V.C.1 | Global Titanium Dioxide Consumption | 63 |
| Table V.C.2 | Global Titanium Dioxide Production | 64 |
| Table V.C.3 | Global Titanium Dioxide Net Trade | 64 |

FIGURES

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| Figure I.A.1 Breakdown of Titanium Ore Production, 1999 | 4 |
| Figure I.B.1 Principal Stages of the Chloride Process | 8 |
| Figure I.D.1 Global Consumption Breakdown of TiO ₂ Pigments, 1999 | 13 |
| Figure II.A.1 Block Flow Diagram for the Manufacture of Titanium Dioxide Pigments | 18 |
| Figure II.A.2 Breakdown of Titanium Ore Production, 1999 | 19 |
| Figure II.B.1 Principal Stages of the Chloride Process | 25 |
| Figure II.C.1 Principal Stages of the Sulfate Process | 31 |
| Figure III.A.1 Bayer's Circulating Fluidized Bed Calcination Process | 34 |
| Figure IV.D.1 Sensitivity of TiO ₂ Production Costs to Feed Pricing | 50 |
| Figure IV.D.2 Sensitivity of TiO ₂ Production Costs to Investment | 51 |
| Figure IV.D.3 Sensitivity of TiO ₂ Production Costs to Return on Investment | 52 |
| Figure IV.D.4 Sensitivity of TiO ₂ Production Costs to Operating Rate | 54 |
| Figure IV.D.5 Sensitivity of TiO ₂ Production Costs to Economy of Scale | 55 |
| Figure IV.D.6 Cost of Production Summary | 56 |
| Figure V.A.1 Global Consumption Breakdown of TiO ₂ Pigments, 1999 | 59 |